

Welcome.

I reserve the right to amend this syllabus over the course of the semester. Please be sure you have the latest version.

Studio Class

This is a studio class. This means that most of the time you will work on projects and meet for critique. You will not receive detailed project briefs as you may be used to receiving in some other classes which may be studio-inspired but which are not strictly studio classes. You will receive themes for the projects. Themes are not the same things as problems—I will explain more in class. Knowing how to set the specific focus of how your project team addresses the theme is an important learning objective for this class.

Class Vision

The core focus of the class is strategic design thinking, visual design thinking, and values-orientation as a foundation for design.

Group Project Themes

The group project themes are

- 1. Preserving life (sustainability)
- 2. Seeing one another (design for respect)

For Each Class

I will explain design notions of research, insights, concepts, and prototypes in a way which dovetails with your I541 class. Each regular studio week (W3-W6 and W10-W13), you must arrive in class with materials in hand to demonstrate your teams progress on your project. You must be prepared to present your teams progress each of these weeks. The discussion that evolves and feedback you receive is based on the materials you present. We will supply large post-it note pads for you to facilitate public notetaking about this feedback, and for you to do your group work.

Photographs in this Syllabus

The photographs in this syllabus were taken by Prof. Blevis at an exhibit called "Dream Cars 2015" presented at the Indianapolis Museum of Art. I will explain why they appear in this syllabus in class. The first image is the 1935 Bugatti Type 57 Competition Coupe Aerolithe. The second is a 1936 Stout Scarab. The last image is *L'OEuf electrique* by Paul Arzens, 1942 /en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Arzens accessed 08.05.2018.

Individual Projects

This year, there are two individual projects. Each involves creating a precis (300-800 words) and diagrams (1-5 diagrams) to represent an assigned research paper germane to the topics above. The assigned papers are:

Individual Project 1

Eli Blevis. 2018. Seeing What Is and What Can Be: On Sustainability, Respect for Work, and Design for Respect. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Paper 370, 14 pages. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173944

Individual Project 2

Select one or more of

Shaowen Bardzell. 2018. Utopias of Participation: Feminism, Design, and the Futures. ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact. 25, 1, Article 6 (February 2018), 24 pages. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3127359

Jeffrey Bardzell. 2011. Interaction criticism: An introduction to the practice. Interact. Comput. 23, 6 (November 2011), 604-621. DOI=http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.int-com.2011.07.001

Seyram Avle and Silvia Lindtner. 2016. Design(ing) 'Here' and 'There': Tech Entrepreneurs, Global Markets, and Reflexivity in Design Processes. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2233-2245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858509

In addition to these references, please see the complete listing of additional references, including pictorials from ACM DIS and C&C.



Learning Outcomes

At the end of this class, you should know or have refined your abilities to:

- Know how to thrive in design studio culture.
- How to write your own project briefs or other forms of strategic and tactical design planning, and how to be comfortable in an environment in which you are not provided with a detailed project brief by someone else.
- Use important designerly tools such as Adobe inDesign, Lightroom, and Photoshop, and even how to use tools like MS Word and PowerPoint in more designerly ways.
- Understand rudiments and some advanced principles of photography and both digital and traditional photographic tools for the context of HCI and interaction design.
- Understand how to be thoughtful in your use of images and video in interaction design.
- Develop a sense of values-oriented design, particularly in relation to the project themes.
- Understand transdisciplinary design and its utility in bringing value to design processes and frameworks.
- Provide two publishable quality design projects for your portfolio.

Form of Deliverables

For each group project you will deliver

- 1. a pictorial in ACM pictorial format, which you must make in Adobe inDesign:
 - http://cc.acm.org/2017/files/Pictorals2017.zip a video (minimum length 3:20 minutes, maximum
- length 6:40 minutes), which you may make in Power-Point or another software tool of your choosing.

New: Optionally, you may form groups for the second project to enter the CHI Student Design competition. In this case, your deliverables must correspond to the formats specified by the instructions for that competition: https://chi2019.acm.org/authors/student-design-competition/

This year, there are two individual projects, due in W2 and W8. Each involves creating a precis (300-800 words) and diagrams (1-5 diagrams) to represent an assigned research paper germane to the topics above.

Important note about third party materials

Your use of third party materials must conform to ACM policy:

Third party material

Fair use

Sample permission request letter

The reason for this policy is to underscore the goal of producing professional quality, publishable work, as you will be expected to produce in real-world practice. In your professional career, you will be expected to adhere to copyright laws. It is also a matter of ethics and respect for the work of others. Moreover, since this studio class emphasizes visual thinking, most of your evidence of such thinking must be your own original work.

Class Format

6:15-8:45 : per schedule and as discussed in class

Office Hours

By appointment

Semester Important Dates

Begins Mon, Aug 20
Labor Day Mon, Sept 3
Fall Break Oct 5 - Oct 7
Auto W Sun, Oct 21
Thanksgiving Nov 18 - Nov 25
Final Exams Dec 10 - Dec 14
Ends Fri, Dec 14

Schedule

W1 8.20,21 Project 1:

Preserving life (sustainability)

W2 8.27,28 Individual project presentations I

Labor Day week

W3 9.10,11 Design research

W4 9.17,18 Design insights
W5 9.24,25 Design concepts
W6 10.1,2 Design prototypes
Fall Break
W7 10.8,9 Extra time to prepare Pictorials and Videos
W8 10.15,16 Presentations for Project 1*

W9 10.22,23 Individual project presentations II and introduction of Project 2:

Seeing one another (design for respect)

W10 10.29,30 Design research

W11 11.5,6 Design insights W12: 11.12,13 Design concepts

Thanksgiving

W13: 11.26,27 Design prototypes W14:12.3,4 Presentations for Project 2* Final Exams week

Grading

G: Group projects: 40%
I: Individual projects: 40%
C: Attendance & contribution: 20%

Very imporant notes

Your grade for individual projects limits your maximum grade for group projects. That is

Final grade =
$$(\min(G,I) * .4) + (I * .4) + (C * .2)$$

Unexcused absences are not allowed. Excused absences require documentation.

The contribution grade is based on the thoughtfulness of your comments and your support for the quality of the class as a whole. It is possible to receive a low grade for contribution if you try to dominate the discussions or otherwise demand undue attention.

Design Components and Design Framework

The table on the next page diagrams design components (rows) within a design framework (columns) we will use in this class. A design component is a unit of reportable work in design. The design framework is a general. common notion of the way in which design components are organized together to form a design, within more or less equivalent renamings. I will further explain the table in class.

^{*} Note that guests are welcome to attend group presentations

Design Space	Concept Space

AFIOU Affinity digram Annotated photograph Appearance prototype Behoward prototype Contection Competitive intelligence Cutural Protes City of the Contection Competitive intelligence Cutural Protes City of the Contection Competitive intelligence Cutural Protes Cospin (Line Cospi	Design Component	Predesign	Research	Insights	Concepts	Prototypes	Strategies
Anonated photograph Appearance prototype Behovaral prototype Collection Conpetitive intelligence Cultural Probes Design fiction Disposable Comers study Enterprise plan High ficielly prototype Person Iterature report Look and Felp prototype Low lidelily prototype Desponal inventory Protof of control of the prototype Protof of control of the prototype Research hypothesi Research through Design High ficielly prototype Protof of control of the prototype Protof of control of the prototype Protof of control of the prototype Research hypothesi Research through Design High ficielly prototype Research hypothesi Research through Design High ficielly prototype Research hypothesi Research through Design High ficielly prototype Research High ficielly prototype Research High ficielly fi							
Appearance prototype Behavioral prototype Collection Competible intelligence Cultural Probes Design fiction Dispossible Comera study Enterprise plan Exploration prototype High fielding prototype Low field			0	•			
Behoviral prototype Collection Congetitive intelligence Cultural Probes Design fiction Disposable Comers study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High fidelity prototype High fidelity prototype Hyph fidelity prototype Hyph fidelity prototype Literative study Interview study Intervie		•	O	•	U U		
Collection Competitive intelligence Cultural Probes Design fiction Disposable Comera study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High fidelity prototype High fidelity prototype High fidelity prototype Levition Literation Literation Literation Literation Literation Literation Literation Personal O O Personal O O Personal O O Personal Personal Personal Personal Personal O O Personal Person							
Competitive intelligences Cultural Probes Design fiction Disposable Comers study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High fidelity prototype Interview study Interview study Interview study Interview report Look and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Persona O O Personal O O O Personal O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O			•				
Cultural Probes Design Picton Disposable Camera study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High fidelity prototype Literature report Look and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Low fidelity prototype Presanal inventory Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research from Condo Con Know Sketch Social value plan Stacholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development John Alakoloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if seenario What-if seenario What-if seenario							
Canada Tubos Disposible Camera Study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype Hypothesis Interview Study Iteration Journey map Ulterature report Look and See prototype Low fieldly prototype Low fieldly prototype Personal Inventory Personal Inventory Personal Inventory Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research Hypothesis Research fired to the Control of the Co						•	
Disposable Camera study Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High fidelity prototype Hypothesis Intervew study Rerotion Journey map Literoture report Look and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Personal Personal Personal Inventory Personal Inventory Protof of cancet pratotype Research through besign Research thypothesis Research thypothesis Research thypothesis Research thypothesis Research floration Shadow study Shadow study Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Stokeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aboud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wixard of Cp prototype					•		•
Enterprise plan Exploratory prototype High filedity prototype High filedity prototype Interview study Interview study Interview report Look and feel prototype Loo filedity prototype Loo filedity prototype Person Personal inventory Person Personal inventory Person Personal inventory Person Personal inventory Person Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research hypothesis Research hypothesis Research fronting Standow study Should die, Con do, Con Know Social value plan Stokeholder diagram Stokyboard Stokeholder diagram Stokyboard Stokyb			•				
Exploratory prototype High fieldity prototype Hypathesis Interview study Iteration Journey map Literature report Look and feel prototype Persona Personal inventory Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research Hypothesis Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Storye study Technology development plan Think aloud prototop Usobility prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario							•
High fidelity prototype Hypothesis Interview study Reration Journey map Literature report Look and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Persona Personal No Perso						•	
Hypothesis Interview study Iteration Journey map Literature report Look and feel prototype Low fiscelly prototype Persona Persona OO Personal Inventory PRICES Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research Hypothesis Research through Design (RID) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Setch Sociol value plan Stokeholder diagram Stokeholder diagram Stokeholder diagram Storey study Technology development Join Jusaility prototope Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario What-if scenario Wision statement What-if scenario Wision statement What-if scenario Wision statement What-if scenario						•	
Interview study Interview study Interval the Interval to Interval the		•					
Iterature report Look and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Persona Personal Inventory PRICPS Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research through Design (RLD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should ac, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Stokeholder diagram Stokyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement Witard if scenario Wizard of Q prototype			•				
Journey map Literature report Look and feel prototype Low feeling prototype Persona Pe			-		•	•	
Literature report Lob and feel pratotype Persona Persona Personal O Personal inventory Personal inventory PRICP Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research through Design (RID) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement Whart-if scenario Wizard of O prototype				•	•		•
Low and feel prototype Low fidelity prototype Persona Personal inventory PRICPS PRICPS Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research hypothesis Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stackplader diagram Starpboard Starpboard Starpboard Starpboard Starpboard Starpboard Survy study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenorio Wizard of O prototype			•		_		_
Low fidelity prototype Persona Persona Personal inventory PRICPS Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research hypothesis Research hypothesis Research through Design (RID) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stoxphoard Stury bloard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype ### Open and Protocol Wizard of De prototype						•	
Personal inventory						•	
Personal inventory PRICPS Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research through Design (RLD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Stackholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of De prototype Wizard of De prototype ### Open Primary Story State ### Open Primary State ###				0	•		
PRICES Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research thyopthesis Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype							
Primary observations Proof of concept prototype Research hypothesis Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype							•
Proof of concept prototype Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can Know Sketch Social value plan Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype March Marc			•				
Research hypothesis Research through Design (RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Storyboard Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype	Proof of concept prototype					•	
Research through Design (RED) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype	Research hypothesis			•			
(RtD) Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype			•			•	
Semantic differential Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype							
Shadow study Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype				•			
Should do, Can do, Can know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype			•				
know Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype		•		•			
Sketch Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wizard of Oz prototype							
Social value plan Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype					•		
Stakeholder diagram Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype							•
Storyboard Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wisard of Oz prototype	Stakeholder diagram			•			•
Survey study Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wisard of Oz prototype					•		
Technology development plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wisard of Oz prototype			•				
plan Think aloud protocol Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype Wisand of Oz prototype							•
Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype							
Usability prototype Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype			•				
Use case diagram Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype	Usability prototype					•	
Vision statement What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype						•	•
What-if scenario Wizard of Oz prototype		•		•			
Wizard of Oz prototype	What-if scenario			•			
						•	
Working prototype	Working prototype					•	

Skills Lab
7:30-8:45
0
0
0
0
0
0

O = optional, \bullet = required, \bullet * = 6:30-7:30, \circ = schedule conflict

Also Required

Bella Martin and Bruce Hannington. 2012. Universal Methods of Design. Beverly, MA: Rockport.

Additional References

Designing Interactive Systems Pictorials

- Iohanna Nicenboim, Elisa Giaccardi, and Lenneke Kuijer. 2018. Designing Connected Resources for Older People. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 413-425. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3196709.3196808
- Hayati Havlucu, Terry Eskenazi, Bariş Akgün, Mehmet Cengiz Onbaşlı, Aykut Coşkun, and Oğuzhan Özcan. 2018. Flow State Feedback Through Sports Wearables: A Case Study on Tennis. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1025-1039. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196807
- Nick Logler, Daisy Yoo, and Batya Friedman.
 2018. Metaphor Cards: A How-to-Guide for Making and Using a Generative Metaphorical Design Toolkit. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1373-1386. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196811
- Martin Murer. 2018. Making Things Apart: Gaining Material Understanding. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 497-509. DOI: https://doi org/10.1145/3196709.3196806
- Szu-Yu (Cyn) Liu, Jeffrey Bardzell, and Shaowen Bardzell. 2018. Photography as a Design Research

- Tool into Natureculture. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 777-789. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196819
- Doenja Oogjes, William Odom, and Pete Fung. 2018.
 Designing for an other Home: Expanding and Speculating on Different Forms of Domestic Life. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 313-326. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196810
- Jeroen Peeters and Ambra Trotto. 2018. Designing Expressions of Movement Qualities. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 679-690. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196805
- James Pierce, Sarah Fox, Nick Merrill, Richmond Wong, and Carl DiSalvo. 2018. An Interface without A User: An Exploratory Design Study of Online Privacy Policies and Digital Legalese. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1345-1358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196818
- Harvey Bewley and Laurens Boer. 2018. Designing Blo-nut: Design Principles, Choreography and Otherness in an Expressive Social Robot. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1069-1080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196817

- Tomasz Jaskiewicz and Aadjan van der Helm. 2018. Unlocking the Interactive Office: Concurrent Prototyping Approach. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 547-558. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3196709.3196821
- Eli Blevis and Shunying An Blevis. 2018. Design Inspirations from the Wisdom of Years. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 719-732. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196816
- Soud Nassir and Tuck Wah Leong. 2018. Conducting Qualitative Fieldwork with Ageing Saudis: A Visual Diary. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 427-439. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3196709.3196820
- Joep Frens, Mathias Funk, Bastiaan van Hout, and Joep Le Blanc. 2018. Designing the IoT Sandbox. In Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 341-354. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3196709.3196815
- 2018. Proceedings of the 2018 Designing Interactive Systems Conference. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- 2018. Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference Companion Publication on Designing Interactive Systems. ACM, New York, NY, USA.

- Samuel Huron, Pauline Gourlet, Uta Hinrichs, Trevor Hogan, and Yvonne Jansen. 2017. Let's Get Physical: Promoting Data Physicalization in Workshop Formats. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1409-1422. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3064663.3064798
- Dorothé Smit, Martin Murer, Vincent van Rheden, Thomas Grah, and Manfred Tscheligi. 2017. The Evolution of a Scale Model as an Impromptu Design Tool. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 233-245. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3064663.3064797
- Pauline Gourlet and Thierry Dassé. 2017. Cairn: A
 Tangible Apparatus for Situated Data Collection,
 Visualization and Analysis. In Proceedings of the
 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems
 (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 247-258. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064794
- Jeroen Peeters, Marlies Peeters, and Ambra Trotto. 2017. Exploring Active Perception in Disseminating Design Research. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1395-1407. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064789
- 2017. Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems. ACM, New York, NY, USA.

- Vygandas "Vegas" Simbelis. 2017. Time and Space in Broken Panorama. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1369-1381. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064793
- Laurens Boer, Anna Vallgårda, and Ben Cahill. 2017.
 Giving Form to a Hedonic Haptics Player. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 903-914. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064792
- Patrizia D'Olivo, Marco C. Rozendaal, and Elisa Giaccardi. 2017. AscoltaMe: Retracing the Computational Expressivity of a Tactful Object for Sensitive Settings. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 943-955. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3064663.3064801
- Wenn-Chieh Tsai, Daniel Orth, and Elise van den Hoven. 2017. Designing Memory Probes to Inform Dialogue. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 889-901. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3064663.3064791
- 2017. Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference Companion Publication on Designing Interactive Systems. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- Bokyung Lee, Jiwoo Hong, Jaeheung Surh, and Daniel Saakes. 2017. Ori-mandu: Korean Dumpling

into Whatever Shape You Want. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 929-941. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064790

- Axel Roesler, Barbara Holder, Dan Ostrowski, Nate Landes, Stephen Minarsch, Daniya Ulgen, Erin Murphy, and Haeree Park. 2017. Video Prototyping for Interaction Design Across Multiple Displays in the Commercial Flight Deck. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 271-283. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064800
- James Pierce. 2016. Design Proposal for a Wireless Derouter: Speculatively Engaging Digitally Disconnected Space. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 388-402. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/2901790.2901908
- Reese Muntean, Kate Hennessy, Alissa Antle, Brendan Matkin, Susan Rowley, and Jordan Wilson. 2016. Design Interactions in ?eləwkw: Belongings. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 582-594. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901912
- A. Baki Kocaballi and Yeliz Yorulmaz. 2016. Performative Photography as an Ideation Method.
 In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on

- Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1083-1095. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/2901790.2901911
- Andy Boucher. 2016. The Form Design of the Datacatcher: A Research Prototype. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 595-606. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901907
- Audrey Desjardins, Ron Wakkary, and William Odom. 2016. Behind the Lens: A Visual Exploration of Epistemological Commitments in HCI Research on the Home. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 360-376. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901910
- Heather McKinnon. 2016. Finding Design Value in Modern Mundanity. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1059-1071. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901906
- Eli Blevis. 2016. Being Photo-Visual in HCI and Design. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 983-995. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/2901790.2901863
- Eli Blevis. 2016. The Visual Thinking Gallery: A Five Year Retrospective. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS

- '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1096-1110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901903
- Daniela K. Rosner, Margaret E. Morris, Ariel Duncan, Sarah E. Fox, Kathi R. Kitner, Ankur Agrawal, and Mei J. Chen. 2016. Designing for Movement in Public Life with Itinerant Probes. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '16). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1072-1082. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901913
- James Pierce and Eric Paulos. 2014. Some variations on a counterfunctional digital camera. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 131-140. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602968
- 2014. Proceedings of the 2014 Companion Publication on Designing Interactive Systems. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- Stephan Wensveen, Oscar Tomico, Martijn ten Bhömer, and Kristi Kuusk. 2014. Growth plan for an inspirational test-bed of smart textile services. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 141-150. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602967
- 2014. Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- Ron Wakkary, Audrey Desjardins, William Odom, Sabrina Hauser, and Leila Aflatoony. 2014.

Eclipse: eliciting the subjective qualities of public places. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 151-160. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602966

- Michael Shorter, Jon Rogers, and John McGhee. 2014. Practical notes on paper circuits. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 483-492. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602965
- Eli Blevis. 2014. Stillness and motion, meaning and form. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 493-502. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/2598510.2602963
- Diego Trujillo-Pisanty, Abigail Durrant, Sarah Martindale, Stuart James, and John Collomosse. 2014.
 Admixed portrait: reflections on being online as a new parent. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 503-512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602962
- 2014. Session details: Pictorials I. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, -. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3247439
- William Odom, John Zimmerman, Jodi Forlizzi, Hajin Choi, Stephanie Meier, and Angela Park. 2014.

Unpacking the thinking and making behind a user enactments project. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Designing interactive systems (DIS '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 513-522. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2598510.2602960

Creativity & Cognition Pictorials

- Eli Blevis. 2017. Qualities of Focus. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 309-322. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3059454.3059485
- 2017. Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- Huaxin Wei and Betty Durango. 2017. Beyond Level Blueprints: Visualizing the Progression of Emotion and Narrative Driven Games. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 171-183. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3059454.3059489
- Zhide Loh, Jung-Joo Lee, and Kee Hong Song.
 2017. Long Live the Sensor! Designing with Energy Harvesting. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '17).
 ACM, New York, NY, USA, 323-335. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3059454.3059488
- Jenny Waycott and Hilary Davis. 2017. Sharing the Housebound Experience through Visual Sto-

- rytelling. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2-14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3059454.3059487
- Andrew Quitmeyer. 2017. The First Hiking Hacks: Exploring Mobile Making for Digital Naturalism. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Creativity and Cognition (C&C '17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 197-208. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1145/3059454.3059486
- Ingrid Bachmann. 2005. Digital crustaceans: homesteading on the world wide web. In Proceedings of the 5th conference on Creativity & cognition (C&C '05). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 273-274. DOI=http:// dx.doi.org/10.1145/1056224.1056272

Additional Important Information

Absences and Disengagement

The use of digital devices to look up references or work on projects in class is encouraged. The use of digital devices to engage in social media or similar forms of disengagement may result in an unexcused absence at the discretion of the associate instructors.

Accommodations & Feedback

We welcome your feedback. We will do our best to accommodate specific requests if they are reasonable and have

Academic Misconduct

The class is morally and procedurally bound by IU's policies on academic misconduct, the details of which you can read about at the following website: www.indiana. edu/~code/code/index.shtml

Religious Observance

In accordance with the Office of the Dean of Faculties, any student who wishes to receive an excused absence from class must submit a request form available from the Dean of Faculties for each day to be absent. This form must be presented to the course professor by the end of the second week of the semester. A separate form must be submitted for each day. The form must be signed by the instruc-

tor, with a copy retained by instructor, and the original returned to the student. Information about the policy on religious observance can be found here: www.indiana. edu/~vpfaa/holidays.shtml

English

If English is not your native language or you are otherwise shy about speaking in class, please do not worry. You will not be penalized in any way for making contributions to the class in less than perfect English or for taking time to compose your answers. You are welcome to say what you want to say in your language of choice first and then ask for help from others to translate to English. I will frequently emphasize to the class the need

for all of us to be supportive of each other when it comes to contributing to the discussions. There is no need to feel rushed when responding to questions in class—an important part of the class is the construction of a feeling of community with the faculty and your peers.

Important Notice

As your instructor, one of my responsibilities is to help create a safe learning environment on our campus. Title IX and our own Sexual Misconduct policy prohibit sexual misconduct. If you have experienced sexual misconduct, or know someone who has, the University can help. I encourage you to visit http://stopsexualviolence.iu.edu/ to learn more. If you are seeking help and would like to speak to someone confidentially, you can make an appointment with a Mental Health Counselor on campus (contact information available at http://stopsexualviolence.iu.edu/employee/confidential.html).

It is also important that you know that federal regulations and University policy require me to promptly convey any information about potential sexual misconduct known to me to our Deputy Title IX Coordinator or IU's Title IX Coordinator. In that event, they will work with a small number of others on campus to ensure that appropriate measures are taken and resources are made available to the student who may have been harmed. Protecting a student's privacy is of utmost concern, and all involved will only share information with those that need to know to ensure the University can respond and assist.

